

# The execution layer AI-native organizations are missing.

AI can generate more work than organizations can reliably carry to completion. TAB detects where execution breaks, coordinates the smallest authorized intervention, and recovers continuity across people, systems, and agents.

## THE THESIS

The bottleneck is shifting from intelligence to execution. Work fails at seams: unclear ownership, missing permissions, dropped context, duplicate review, and agent-to-human handoffs. TAB owns the recovery loop without replacing source systems.

## PEOPLE + SYSTEMS

People retain authority. Systems remain systems of record. AI agents contribute speed. TAB sits across all three: observe workflow signals → classify breakdown → route recovery → verify completion.

## HOW THE BOUNCER FITS

### PEOPLE

judgment · authority

### BOUNCER

hygiene · closure

### TAB CORE

detect · coordinate · recover

### SYSTEMS + AI

work · evidence · actions

## VALIDATION NOW

- US design partnership in a trading workflow
- Call-center research at the earliest operational breakpoints
- Behavior-first prototypes testing timing, trust, and recovery

Current proof is early-stage. The next milestone is repeatable workflow-level evidence in 3-5 live environments.

## A FOUR-WEEK PILOT

- W1 — map one failing workflow and baseline
- W2 — instrument signals and authority rules
- W3 — run recovery in shadow/live mode
- W4 — compare latency, drops, rework, and closure

No expansion before the evidence clears the gate.

## THE OPPORTUNITY

Design partners get a bounded pilot, direct influence on the recovery model, and an evidence pack for rollout decisions. Investors fund the transition from a working architecture and field validation into repeatable enterprise proof, connector depth, and a defensible execution-friction benchmark.